

DISTRIBUTION OF COKERNELS OF $(n+u) \times n$ MATRICES OVER $\mathbb{Z}_p$

LING-SANG TSE

ABSTRACT. Let $n, u \geq 0$, M be a $(n+u) \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and G be a finite abelian p -group. We find that the probability that the cokernel of M is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus G$ as n goes to infinity is exactly what is expected from Cohen-Lenstra heuristics for the classical case when u is negative.

1. OUTLINE OF THE PAPER

The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n, u \geq 0$, M be a randomly chosen $(n+u) \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and G be a finite abelian p -group. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{coker } M \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus G) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|}.$$

Notation: For the rest of this paper, let $n, u \geq 0$, M be a randomly chosen $(n+u) \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and G be a finite abelian p -group. Also, let μ be the standard Haar measure on the set of $(n+u) \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\mu(\{(n+u) \times n \text{ matrices over } \mathbb{Z}_p\}) = 1$.

We prove Theorem 1.1 using the following lemmas:

Lemma 1.2. *For any $(n+u) \times n$ matrix M over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the probability that M has rank n is 1.*

Lemma 1.3. *If M is a random $(n+u) \times n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\#\text{Sur}(\text{coker } M, G)) = |G|^u$$

Note that from Lemma 1.2, the probability that M has cokernel isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T$ for some abelian p -group T is 1, so what the lemma really meant to say is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\#\text{Sur}(\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T, G)) = |G|^u$.

Lemma 1.4. *If M is a random $(n+u) \times n$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\#\text{Sur}((\text{coker } M)[p^{\infty}], G)) = |G|^u$$

Similarly as in Lemma 1.3, what Lemma 1.4 meant is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\#\text{Sur}(T, G)) = |G|^u$.

2. PROOFS

Proof of Lemma 1.2. An alternate, more combinatorial, proof of this lemma is presented in the appendix in A.1. Here, we present a much nicer proof.

An $(n + u) \times n$ matrix has rank n if and only if it has an $n \times n$ submatrix with rank n , so it is sufficient to show that the probability that an $n \times n$ matrix has rank n is 1.

Claim 1.2.1: Fix a polynomial f in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ over n variables. The probability of picking a random point $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ so that f vanishes at $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is 0.

Proof of Claim 1.2.1 by induction: If n is 1, this is clear because the polynomial has finitely many roots.

Assume this is true for $n - 1$ variables, and let f be a polynomial in n variables over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. At any given point in $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$, consider $g(x_n) := f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_n)$ as a polynomial in the one variable x_n . The coefficients of $g(x_n)$ are polynomials in $n - 1$ variables, so by induction, the probability of picking a random point $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ so that all of the coefficients of the corresponding polynomial $g(x_n)$ are 0 is 0. Thus, the probability that $g(x_n)$ is a non-trivial polynomial is 1, so as in the 1 variable case, the probability that $g(a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ is 0. This completes the proof of Claim 1.2.1.

An $n \times n$ matrix has rank n if and only if its determinant is non-zero, and the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix is a fixed polynomial in n^2 variables evaluated at a random point in $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n^2}$. Thus, by Claim 1.2.1, the probability that an $n \times n$ matrix has rank n is 1.

Proof of Lemma 1.3. Let M be a random $(n + u) \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu(\text{Sur}(\text{coker } M, G)) &= \int_{\{M: \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}\}} \sum_{\{\phi: \text{coker } M \rightarrow G\}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\{\phi: \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G\}} \int_{\left\{ \begin{array}{c} M: \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \\ \text{such that } \text{im } M \subseteq \ker \phi \end{array} \right\}} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{\{\phi: \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G\}} |G|^{-n} \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows because $\ker \phi$ has index $|G|$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}$, so the probability that any of the basis element maps into $\ker \phi$ is $|G|^{-1}$. M is determined by where the n basis elements are mapped to, so the probability that $\text{im } M \subseteq \ker \phi$ is $|G|^{-n}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\mu \#(\text{Sur}(\text{coker } M, G)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\{\phi: \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G\}} |G|^{-n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\{\phi: \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G\}} |G|^{-n} \\ &= \frac{|G|^{n+u}}{|G|^n} \\ &= |G|^u \end{aligned}$$

The second last equality follows because as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\# \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G) \sim \# \text{Sur}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G)$ (We present a proof of this in the appendix in A.2).

This completes the proof of lemma 1.3.

Proof of Lemma 1.4.

As in the note beneath the statement of Lemma 1.3, let $\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T$ be the cokernel of an $(n+u) \times n$ matrix. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{H \leq G} \# \text{Sur} (\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T, H) &= \# \text{Hom} (\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T, G) \\ &= \# \text{Hom} (\mathbb{Z}_p^u, G) \# \text{Hom} (T, G) \\ &= |G|^u \left(\sum_{H \leq G} \# \text{Sur} (T, H) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\# \text{Sur} (T, G) = \sum_{H \leq G} \frac{\# \text{Sur} (\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T, H)}{|G|^u} - \sum_{H \leq G} \# \text{Sur} (T, H)$$

We prove Lemma 1.4 by inducting on the order of $|G|$.

If G is the trivial group, then it is clear that $\mathbb{E}_\mu (\# \text{Sur} ((\text{coker } M)[p^\infty])) = |G|^u = 1$.

We proceed with the induction, and assume that the hypothesis is true for any group of order less than $|G|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu (\# \text{Sur} (T, G)) &= \sum_{H \leq G} \frac{\mathbb{E}_\mu (\# \text{Sur} (\mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus T, H))}{|G|^u} - \sum_{H \leq G} \mathbb{E}_\mu (\# \text{Sur} (T, H)) \\ &= \sum_{H \leq G} \frac{|H|^u}{|G|^u} - \sum_{H \leq G} |H|^{-u} \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by Lemma 1.3 and by the induction hypothesis.

Claim 1.4.1: If $n \mid |G|$,

$$\# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{subgroups of } G \\ \text{of order } n \end{array} \right\} = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{subgroups of } G \\ \text{of index } n \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof of Claim 1.4.1: We show

$$\# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{subgroups of } G \\ \text{of order } n \end{array} \right\} = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{subgroups of } \hat{G} \\ \text{of index } n \end{array} \right\} = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{subgroups of } G \\ \text{of index } n \end{array} \right\}$$

To show the second equality, we may define an isomorphism ϕ_2 from G to $\hat{G}$: For a cyclic group G_0 with generator α_0 , we map α_0 to the character $(\alpha_0 \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i}{|G|}})$. Then for a general finite abelian group G , we decompose G into a product of cyclic groups and extend the map the natural way by taking the product of the maps on the cyclic groups. It is easy to check that ϕ_2 is a well-defined isomorphism, so the second equality follows.

To show the first equality, we define a bijective map of sets ϕ_1 mapping a subgroup of G of order n to a subgroup of $\hat{G}$ of index n . Let $H^\perp := \{\chi \in \hat{G} \mid \chi(h) = 1 \text{ for all } h \in H\}$, and we define ϕ_1 by $\phi_1(H) = H^\perp$. By the universal property of quotients, given a character $\chi \in \hat{G}$, H is contained in the kernel of χ if and only if there exists a homomorphism $\bar{\chi} : G/H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\chi(g) = \bar{\chi}(\bar{g})$ for all $g \in G$. Thus, every $\chi \in H^\perp$ corresponds to a character $\bar{\chi} \in \widehat{G/H}$ by reduction mod H , and so $H^\perp \cong \widehat{G/H}$. As in the previous paragraph, $\widehat{G/H} \cong G/H$, and it is easy to check that $H^\perp$ is a subgroup of $\hat{G}$, so $H^\perp$ is a subgroup of $\hat{G}$ of index n . Thus ϕ_1 is well-defined and is injective.

It remains to check that ϕ_2 is a bijection: By definition of $(H^\perp)^\perp$, $H \subseteq (H^\perp)^\perp$ (where we treat $h \in H \subseteq \hat{G}$ as evaluation at h). Since $|(H^\perp)^\perp| = |H|$, we have $(H^\perp)^\perp = H$.

The proof of Claim 1.4.1 is complete.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\mu(\#\text{Sur}(T, G)) &= \sum_{H \leq G} \frac{|H|^u}{|G|^u} - \sum_{H \leq G} |H|^{-u} \\ &= |G|^{-u} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.4, and we can finally prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We apply the following theorem, due to Wood:

Theorem 2.1. [4, Theorem 8.3] *Let X_n be a sequence of random variables taking values in finitely generated abelian groups. Let a be a positive integer and A be the set of (isomorphism classes of) abelian groups with exponent dividing a . Suppose that for every $G \in A$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\#\text{Sur}(X_n, G)) \leq |\wedge^2 G|.$$

Then for every $H \in A$, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \cong H)$ exists, and for all $G \in A$ we have

$$\sum_{H \in A} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \cong H) \# \text{Sur}(H, G) \leq |\wedge^2 G|$$

Suppose Y_n is a sequence of random variables taking values in finitely generated abelian groups such that for every $G \in A$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\#\text{Sur}(Y_n, G)) \leq |\wedge^2 G|.$$

Then, we have that for every $H \in A$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \cong H) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(Y_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z} \cong H).$$

Pick random finite p -groups Y_n with probability

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|},$$

and denote the expectation values with respect to this probability measure $\mathbb{E}_Y$.

By Theorem 3.20 in [Majumder],

$$\sum_{G \text{ is a } p\text{-group}} \frac{1}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})^{-1},$$

so this is a well-defined probability distribution.

We apply the following theorem, also due to Wood, to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\#\text{Sur}(T, G)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_Y(\#\text{Sur}(Y, G)) = |G|^{-u}$$

Theorem 2.2. [5, Theorem 3.2] *Let Y be a random p -group chosen with probability*

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|}.$$

Then for every finite abelian group G with exponent dividing a , we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\#\text{Sur}(Y, G)) = |G|^{-u}.$$

Let $a = p|G|$, and we apply Theorem 2.1 to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu}(T \cong G) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|}.$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu}(\text{coker } M \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^u \oplus G) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Similarly, we may get the following corollary for a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$:

Corollary 2.3. *Let $n, u \geq 0$, M be a randomly chosen $(n+u) \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$, G be a finite abelian group, and P be the set of primes dividing $|G|$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{coker } M \cong \mathbb{Z}^u \oplus G) = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - p^{-i-u})}{|G|^u |\text{Aut } G|}.$$

3. APPENDIX

A.1 Alternative proof of Lemma 1.2.

We prove Lemma 1.2 by showing the following:

1. The rank of M is equal to n if and only if $\exists e > 0$ such that $(p^e \mathbb{Z})^n \subset \text{im } M$. To be precise, what is meant by $(p^e \mathbb{Z})^n \subset \text{im } M$ in the rest of this proof is whether there exists an embedding of $(p^e \mathbb{Z})^n$ into $\text{im } M$.

Then by Nakayama's lemma, for all $e \geq 0, e' > e$, $\mathbb{P}(\text{rank } M = n) \geq \mathbb{P}((\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}})^n \subset \text{im } M \pmod{p^{e'}})$.

2. If $e' > e > 0$, $\mathbb{P}((\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}})^n \subset \text{im } M) \geq (\frac{(n+u)!}{u!})^{1/n} p^{-ue-u-e-1}$, which goes to 0 as e goes to infinity.

Step 1: We show that the rank of M is equal to $n \iff \exists e > 0$ such that $(p^e \mathbb{Z})^n \subset \text{im } M$.

($\implies$)

rank $M = n$

$\iff \exists$ an $n \times n$ matrix of minor with nonvanishing determinant

$\iff \text{im } M$ is minimally generated by $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for some $v_i = (v_{ij})_{1 \leq j \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$

Let $e = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \{ \text{ord}_P(v_{ij}) \}$. Then

$\text{im } M$ is minimally generated by $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \iff (p^e \mathbb{Z}_p)^n \subset \text{im } M$

($\Leftarrow$) Let e_i be the standard i^{th} basis vector. We may assume that there exists $e > 0$ such that $p^e e_i \in \text{im } M$ for all i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for all i , there exist $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that $Mv_i = p^e e_i$. Then $M(p^{-e} v_i) = e_i$ for all i (if we extend the action of M to $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$), so there exists an $n \times n$ matrix of minors of M such that $\det M \neq 0$. It follows that the rank M is n . ♣

Step 2: We finish the proof by showing that if $e' > e > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^n \subset \text{im } M\right) \geq p^{-e(n-1)n+(n+u)^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n+u} (1 - p^{-i}).$$

Let $\bar{M} \in \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^{(n+u) \times n}$, and suppose $\left(\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^n \not\subset \text{im } \bar{M}$. Then we may post-compose M with an automorphism of $\left(\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^{(n+u) \times n}$ fixing $\left(\frac{p^{e+1} \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^{(n+u) \times n}$ such that $\text{im } \bar{M}$ does not contain any of the vectors in the set $\{(0, \dots, 0, p^e, 0, \dots, 0)\}_{i=n-1}^{n+u}$, with the p^e in the i^{th} place.

Counting the number of choices for $\phi \circ \bar{M}$ is equivalent to counting the number of $(n+u) \times n$ matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}} \\ \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}} \\ \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'-e-1} \mathbb{Z}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'-e-1} \mathbb{Z}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'-e-1} \mathbb{Z}} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'-e-1} \mathbb{Z}} \end{bmatrix},$$

with $n-1$ rows of $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}$ at the top and $u+1$ rows of $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'-e-1} \mathbb{Z}}$. There are $p^{n((n-1)e' + (n+1)(e'-e-1))}$ of them. Choosing ϕ is equivalent to choosing an automorphism of $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p \mathbb{Z}}\right)^{n+u}$, and there are $p^{(n+u)^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n+u} (1 - p^{-i})$ of them.

There are $p^{n(n+u)e'}$ homomorphisms from $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^n$ to $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^{n+u}$ in total.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^n \not\subseteq \text{im } M\right) &\leq \frac{p^{n((n-1)e' + (u+1)(e' - e - 1))} p^{(n+u)^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n+u} (1 - p^{-i})}{p^{n(n+u)e'}} \\ &= p^{-e(n-1)n + (n+u)^2} \prod_{i=1}^{n+u} (1 - p^{-i}), \end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as e goes to ∞ .

Therefore, for all $e \geq 0, e' > e$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{rank } M = n) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\frac{p^e \mathbb{Z}}{p^{e'} \mathbb{Z}}\right)^n \subseteq \text{im } M\right),$$

which goes to 1 as e goes to ∞ .

A.2 Proof of $\# \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G) \sim \# \text{Sur}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G)$:

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{Sur}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G) &= \#\{\bar{\phi} : \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}\right)^{n+u} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{G}{pG}\} \cdot \#\{\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G \mid \bar{\phi} = 0\} \\ &= (p^{n+u} - 1)(p^{n+u} - p) \dots (p^{n+u} - p^{k-1}) \#\{\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G \mid \bar{\phi} = 0\} \\ &= p^{(n+u)k} (1 - p^{-(n+u)}) \dots (1 - p^{-(n+u)+k-1}) \#\{\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u} \rightarrow G \mid \bar{\phi} = 0\} \\ &= \# \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G) (1 - p^{-(n+u)}) (1 - p^{-(n+u)+1}) \dots (1 - p^{-(n+u)+k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which goes to $\# \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{n+u}, G)$ as n goes to ∞ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my PhD advisor Jacob Tsimerman for his insightful comments and helpful discussions in writing this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cohen and H. W. Lenstra, Jr. Heuristics on class groups of number fields. In *Number theory, Noordwijkerhout 1983 (Noordwijkerhout, 1983)*, volume 1068 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 3362. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [2] Johannes Lengler, The CohenLenstra heuristic for finite abelian groups, PhD thesis, Universitt des Saarlandes, Saarbrcken, Germany, 2009.
- [3] Pritam Majumder, Uniqueness of factorization in quadratic fields, Master's thesis, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India, 2014.
- [4] Melanie Matchett Wood. The distribution of sandpile groups of random graphs. *arXiv:1402.5149 [math]*, February 2014.
- [5] Melanie Matchett Wood. Random Integral Matrices and the Cohen Lenstra heuristics. *arXiv:1504.04391 [math]*, April 2015.